1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 SHAWN VAGUE, 8 Case No. C22-1684 RSM Plaintiff, 9 ORDER GRANTING IN PART v. **DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO** 10 AMEND THE SCHEDULING COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, **ORDER** 11 Defendant. 12 13 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's motion to Amend the Scheduling Order. Dkt. 22. 14 15 Defendant requests to extend the Response Brief deadline to October 2, 2023 due to workload issues. Id. at 2. Defendant also states Plaintiff's counsel has requested to submit the 16 optional Reply Brief on November 15, 2023 due to "his own scheduling issues." Id. 17 18 The Court is sympathetic to the situation of both parties, but it also makes great efforts to 19 ensure that social security cases are resolved in a timely fashion. Given that Plaintiff's counsel 20 has no opposition to Defendant's request, the Court GRANTS Defendant's request for an 21 extension. However, Plaintiff's counsel's "scheduling issues" is not good cause to delay the submission of Plaintiff's optional Reply Brief by 44 days. The Court, therefore, DENIES 22 23 Plaintiff's request for a 44-day extension and instead GRANTS Plaintiff a 21-day extension to ORDER GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANT'S

ORDER GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO AMEND THE SCHEDULING ORDER - 1

file the optional Reply Brief. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Scheduling Order (Dkt. 11) is amended as follows: Defendant shall have up to and including October 2, 2023, to file a response to Plaintiff's Opening Brief; and Plaintiff shall have up to and including October 23, 2023, to file the optional Reply Brief. DATED this 15th day of August, 2023. Ricardo S. Martinez United States District Judge